All filings for any protest or motion to intervene must be received 60 days from the issuance date of this notice.

Scoping Process

The Commission's scoping objectives are to:

- Identify significant environmental issues;
- Determine the depth of analysis appropriate to each issue;
- Identify the resource issues not requiring detailed analysis; and
- Identify reasonable project alternatives.

The purpose of the scoping process is to identify significant issues related to the proposed action and to determine what issues should be covered in the environmental document pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA). The document entitled "Scoping Document I" (SDI) will be circulated shortly to enable appropriate federal, state, and local resource agencies, developers, Indian tribes, nongovernmental organizations (NGO's), and other interested parties to effectively participate in and contribute to the scoping process. SDI provides a brief description of the proposed action, project alternatives, the geographic and temporal scope of a cumulative effects analysis, and a list of preliminary issues identified by staff.

Project Site Visit

The applicant and the Commission staff will conduct a project site visit of the Book Mill Project on August 28, 1995, at 10:00 a.m., meeting at the Book Mill Building, Greenfield Rd., Montague Center, Franklin County, Massachusetts 01351. All interested individuals, NGO's and agencies are invited to attend. All participants are responsible for their own transportation and should bring a hard hat. For more details, interested parties should contact Jay Boeri, agent for Allen Ross, at (802) 436–2521, prior to the site visit date.

Scoping Meetings

The Commission staff will conduct two scoping meetings, both on the same day. All interested individuals, organizations, and agencies are invited to attend and assist the staff in identifying the scope of environmental issues that should be analyzed in the NEPA document.

The afternoon agency scoping meeting will be held on August 28, 1995, from 2:00 P.M. to 4:00 P.M., at the Book Mill Building, Greenfield Road, Montague Center, Franklin County, Massachusetts 01352.

The evening public scoping meeting will be held on August 28, 1995, from

7:00 P.M. to 10:00 P.M. at the above-mentioned location.

The Commission will decide, based on the application, and agency and public comments at the scoping session, whether licensing the Book Mill Project constitutes a major federal action significantly impacting the quality of the human environment. Irrespective of the Commission's determination to prepare an environmental assessment or an environmental impact statement for the Book Mill Project, the Commission staff will not hold additional scoping meetings other than those scheduled, as listed above.

Objectives

At the scoping meetings, the Commission staff will: (1) Summarize the environmental issues tentatively identified for analysis in the NEPA document; (2) solicit from the meeting participants all available information, especially quantified data, on the resources at issue, and (3) encourage statements from experts and the public on issues that should be analyzed in the NEPA document. Individuals, organizations, and agencies with environmental expertise and concerns are encouraged to attend the meetings and to assist the staff in defining and clarifying the issues to be addressed.

Meeting Procedures

The meetings will be recorded by a stenographer and become a part of the formal record of the Commission proceeding on the Book Mill Project. Individuals presenting statements at the meetings will be asked to identify themselves for the record.

Concerned parties are encouraged to offer us verbal guidance during public meetings. Speaking time allowed for individuals will be determined before each meeting, based on the number of persons wishing to speak and the approximate amount of time available for the session, but all speakers will be provided at least 5 minutes to present their views.

All those attending the meeting are urged to refrain from making any communications concerning the merits of the application to any member of the Commission staff outside of the established process for developing the record as stated in the record of the proceeding.

Persons choosing not to speak but wishing to express an opinion, as well as speakers unable to summarize their positions within their allotted time, may submit written statements for inclusion in the public record up until the closing date for SDI.

All filings should contain an original and 8 copies. Failure to file an original and 8 copies may result in appropriate staff not receiving the benefit of your comments in a timely manner. See 18 C.F.R. 4.34(h). In addition, commenters may submit a copy of their comments on a 3½-inch diskette formatted for MS-DOS based computers. In light of our ability to translate MS-DOS based materials, the text need only be submitted in the format and version that it was generated (i.e., MS Word, Word-Perfect 5.1/5.2, ASCII, etc.). It is not necessary to reformat word processor generated text to ASCII. For Macintosh users, it would be helpful to save the documents in Macintosh word processor format and then write them to files on a diskette formatted for MS-DOS machines. All comments should be submitted to the Office of the Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, and should clearly show the following captions on the first page: Book Mill Project, FERC No. 11545.

Further, interested persons are reminded of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedures, requiring parties or interceders (as defined in 18 C.F.R. 385.2010) to file documents on each person whose name is on the official service list for this proceeding. See 18 C.F.R. 4.34(b).

The Commission staff will consider all written comments and may issue a Scoping Document II (SDII). SDII will include a revised list of issues, based on the scoping sessions.

For further information regarding the scoping process, please contact Mary Golato, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Office of Hydropower Licensing, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426 at (202) 219–2804.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–19841 Filed 8–10–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. CP95-643-000, et al.]

East Tennessee Natural Gas Company, et al.; Natural Gas Certificate Filings

August 3, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. East Tennessee Natural Gas Company

[Docket No. CP95-643-000]

Take notice that on July 27, 1995, East Tennessee Natural Gas Company (East Tennessee), P.O. Box 2511, Houston, Texas 77252, filed in Docket No. CP95-643-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.212) for authorization to install a delivery point in Unicoi County, Tennessee for interruptible transportation service for Southern Gas Services, Inc (Southern Gas), formerly C.B.M. International Inc., under East Tennessee's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-412-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

East Tennessee proposes a delivery point at M.P. 3307C-202+6.12 in Unicoi County, Tennessee. The installed twoinch hot tap assembly and interconnecting pipe will be located on East Tennessee's existing right-of-way and the measurement facilities will be located on a site provided by Southern Gas. East Tennessee will inspect Southern Gas's installation of the interconnecting pipe and measurement facilities. East Tennessee will own, operate and maintain the hot tap assembly and will operate the measurement facilities. Southern Gas will own, operate and maintain the interconnecting pipe and own and maintain the measurement facilities. The estimated cost is \$12,300 reimbursable to East Tennessee by Southern Gas.

East Tennessee states that the total quantities to be delivered to Southern Gas will not exceed those authorized, that the proposed delivery point is not prohibited by its existing tariff, and that there is sufficient capacity for the proposed deliveries without detriment or disadvantage to other customers.

Comment date: September 18, 1995, in accordance with the Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

2. Colorado Interstate Gas Company

[Docket No. CP95-645-000]

Take notice that on July 27, 1995, Colorado Interstate Gas Company (CIG), P.O. Box 1087, Colorado Springs, Colorado 80944, filed an application pursuant to Sections 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for an order permitting and approving the abandonment of approximately 4.3 miles of a 10-inch lateral pipeline located in Sweetwater County, Wyoming and certain certificated gathering lines connected to the lateral, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

CIG states the facilities were originally certificated in Docket Nos. G-

16904 and G-18430.1 CIG states that it has an agreement with Texaco Exploration and Production Inc. (Texaco) whereby the pressure of the lateral that is the subject of the abandonment will be lowered. Currently, the lateral is connected to the discharge of CIG's Table Rock Compressor Station and is part of CIG's transmission system. CIG proposes to lower the pressure in the lateral by making minor changes to the pipes and valves and thereby allowing additional production from seven Texaco wells connected to the lateral. CIG also proposes to reclassify the lateral from transmission function to the gathering function because of the proposed reconfiguration of the lateral. CIG states that the current net book value of the lateral is \$33,453, as of May 31, 1995.

Comment date: August 24, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

3. Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation

[Docket No. CP95-646-000]

Take notice that on July 28, 1995, Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia), 1700 MacCorkle Avenue, S.E., Charleston, West Virginia 25314, filed in Docket No. CP95-646-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.211) for authorization to construct and operate an additional delivery point for interruptible transportation service to Columbia Gas of Kentucky, Inc. (CKY) in Mason County, Kentucky, under Columbia's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP83–76–000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Specifically, Columbia proposes to construct and operate a new delivery point for interruptible transportation service to CKY which will deliver gas to the Maysville Materials asphalt plant. Columbia will provide the service to CKY under Rate Schedule SST and states that the volumes delivered are within certificated entitlements. The estimated cost to establish this point is \$50,000, which amount, CKY has agreed to reimburse Columbia.

Comment date: September 18, 1995, in accordance with the Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

4. Koch Gateway Pipeline Company

[Docket No. CP95-654-000]

Take notice that on August 1, 1995, Koch Gateway Pipeline Company (KGPC), P.O. Box 1478, Houston, Texas 77251-1478, filed in Docket No. CP95-654–000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.211) for authorization to operate as a jurisdictional facility, a delivery tap placed in service under Section 311(a) of the Natural Gas Policy Act (NGPA) and Section 284.3(c) of the Commission's Regulations, under KGPC's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82–430–000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

KGPC states that the proposed certification of facilities will enable KGPC to provide transportation services under its blanket transportation certificate through an existing delivery tap serving Entex, Inc. (Entex), a local distribution company, in Jones County, Mississippi.

KGPC also states that it will operate the proposed facilities in compliance with 18 CFR Part 157, Subpart F and that it has sufficient capacity to render the proposed service without detriment or disadvantage to its other existing

customers.

Comment date: September 18, 1995, in accordance with the Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraphs

F. Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before the comment date, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

¹ See, Opinion No. 393, 30 FPC 77, 93-94 (1963) and 31 FPC 694 (1964), respectively.

by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate and/or permission and approval for the proposed abandonment are required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

G. Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–19840 Filed 8–10–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

[Docket No. CP93-613-004, et al.]

Northwest Pipeline Corporation, et al.; Natural Gas Certificate Filings

August 4, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Northwest Pipeline Corporation

[Docket Nos. CP93-613-004, CP93-673-004]

Take notice that on July 24, 1995, Northwest Pipeline Corporation (Northwest), 295 Chipeta Way, Salt Lake City, Utah 84158, filed an abbreviated petition, pursuant to Sections 7(b) and 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act in Docket Nos. CP93–613–004 and CP93–673–004, to amend the Commission order issued April 19, 1995 in the referenced dockets. Northwest requests authorization to downsize or eliminate certain components of the Expansion II Project; revise the allocation of certificated facilities between the Expansion II and Northwest Natural Expansion Projects; and extend the time for construction of the Weyerhaeuser Lateral and Weyerhaeuser Meter Station; all as more fully set forth in the petition to amend which is on file with the Commission and open to the public inspection.

Northwest states that the Northwest Natural Expansion Project will still provide 102,000 Dth per day of mainline capacity for Northwest Natural Gas Company. Further, Northwest says the only impacts of the proposed design changes on the Northwest Natural Expansion Project are new requirements for 1,241 additional horsepower at the existing Goldendale Compressor Station and restaging of existing units at the Albany and Eugene Compressor Stations. Northwest states that these requirements will be satisfied by allocating to the Northwest Natural Expansion Project the Albany and Eugene restaging and a \$3.4 million share of an additional Goldendale compressor unit, all already authorized to be installed at Goldendale for the Northwest Expansion II Project. Northwest states that these allocations from the Northwest Expansion II Project, along with updated cost estimates for all components of the project, results in a revised estimated cost for the Northwest Natural Expansion Project of \$52.5 million.

Northwest indicates that, for the Northwest Expansion II Project, which now will provide 42,175 Dth per day of mainline capacity for 11 shippers (reduced from 62,175 Dth per day), the proposed design changes include:

(1) The aforementioned allocation of previously authorized facilities to the Northwest Natural Expansion Project from the Expansion II Project;

(2) A 3.1 mile reduction in the length of the 24-inch Soda Springs North Loop and elimination of the Soda Springs Meter Station crossover tap;

(3) Elimination of various modifications to existing compression facilities at the Roosevelt, Washougal, Oregon City, McMinnville and Lava Hot Springs Compressor Stations; and

(4) Elimination of a tap and associated piping and valves at the Longview Meter Station (Northwest says these facilities were previously installed as part of a Section 284.3(c) exempt facility).

Northwest states that the foregoing facility reductions, along with updated cost estimates for the remaining project components, result in a current

estimated cost for the Northwest Expansion II Project of \$64.0 million (\$53.1 million for mainline facilities plus \$10.9 million for two incremental laterals).

Finally, Northwest requests the Commission to grant a 30 month extension of time, until October 19, 1998, for construction of the authorized Weyerhaeuser Lateral and Meter Station to be completed, consistent with Weyerhaeuser's current schedule for building its cogeneration plant which will require service through these facilities.

Comment date: August 25, 1995, in accordance with the first paragraph of Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

2. Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company

[Docket No. CP95-648-000]

Take notice that on July 31, 1995, Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company (Panhandle), P.O. Box 1642, Houston. Texas 77251-1642, filed in Docket No. CP95-648-000 a request pursuant to §§ 157.205 and 157.216 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.216) for authorization to abandon in place approximately 6,911 feet of 3-inch diameter pipeline and appurtenant facilities under Panhandle's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP83-83-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Panhandle proposes to abandon 6,911 feet of 3-inch diameter pipeline on Panhandle's Marblehead lateral, line No. 45–05–001–27 and appurtenant facilities located in Quincy, Adams County, Illinois.

Comment date: September 18, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

3. National Fuel Gas Supply Corporation

[Docket No. CP95-649-000]

Take notice that on July 31, 1995, National Fuel Gas Supply Corporation (National), 10 Lafayette Square, Buffalo, New York 14203, filed in Docket No. CP95–649–000, an application pursuant to §§ 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (NGA) (18 CFR 157.205, and 157.211) for authorization to construct and operate a sales tap for delivery of gas to a new residential customer of National Fuel Gas Distribution Corporation (Distribution) under authorization issued in Docket